UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/269,148	07/06/2001	Thomas Hopf	247.1004	9511	
20311 LUCAS & MEI	7590 07/17/200 RCANTI. LLP	8	EXAMINER		
475 PARK AV		MCCALL, ERIC SCOTT			
15TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10016			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2855		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/17/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	09/269,148	HOPF ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Eric S. McCall	2855			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 12 cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. ely filed the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	-· action is non-final.				
<i>,</i>					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
		0.0.2.2.0.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 March 1999 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/12/99 & 7/09/01.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

<u>METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF</u> <u>COMBUSTION MISFIRES</u>

FIRST OFFICE ACTION

CLAIMS

35 U.S.C. § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the Applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, the phrase "wherein at least two successive compression times and expansion times are determined for at least one cylinder of the internal combustion engine" is indefinite as

Page 3

to the meaning of compression times and expansion times. The terminology of compression strokes and expansion strokes are well known in the art but it is not clear as to what defines the Applicant's compression times and expansion times.

Claims 2 and 6, the terms "predeterminable parameters" and "predeterminable ambient parameters" are indefinite as to the meaning thereof.

Claim 4 sets forth a broad range (at least one combustion misfire) together with a narrow range (a predeterminable number of combustion misfires) that falls within the broad range that is indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired.

Claim 7 sets forth a broad range (a vehicle) together with a narrow range (a passenger vehicle) that falls within the broad range that is indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired.

See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949).

35 U.S.C. § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Denz (5,144,927).

With respect to claim 1, Denz sets forth a method for the determination of combustion

misfires in an internal combustion engine having a plurality of cylinders, wherein at least two

successive compression times and expansion times are determined for at least one cylinder of the

internal combustion engine, wherein for the determination of combustion misfires, a comparison

of the change in the compression times with the change in the expansion times being carried out,

and the result of the comparison being a measure of a combustion misfire (abstract).

With respect to claim 2, Denz suggests that the method is carried out as function of

predeterminable parameters (such as compression angular segments and expansion angular

segments) of the internal combustion engine as claimed.

With respect to claim 3, Denz suggests that the method is carried out for each cylinder of

the internal combustion engine (col. 4, lines 40-58).

With respect to claim 4, it is inherent in the Denz teaching that after the detection of a

predeterminable number of combustion misfires (such as one misfire), a fault signal would be

generated and emitted.

With respect to claim 5, Denz suggests that a threshold value for the measure of a

combustion misfire is formed as a function of a parameter of the internal combustion engine and

that no fault signal may be generated based on the comparison between the result and the

threshold value (col. 5, lines 8-42).

With respect to claim 6, Denz suggests that the method is not carried out in the case of a

deviation from permissible value ranges for the predeterminable parameters of the internal

combustion engine.

With respect to claim 7, Denz suggests that the taught method is used in an on-board

diagnostic device for the internal combustion engine.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Eric S. McCall whose telephone number is (571) 272-2183.

Application/Control Number: 09/269,148 Page 6

Art Unit: 2855

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Eric S. McCall/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2855